PAGE

Protection Agency, therefore, represents the latest in an attempt to interfere with the Obispo for purposes of airport parking and development. The California Environmental Obispo County which will lead to the acquisition of the property by the county of San Luis are lawful. In addition, at this moment there are condemnation proceedings pending in San Luis condemnation proceedings now pending in San Luis Obispo County are consummated at which proceedings, therefore, are unseemly at least and constitute a joint effort coordinated with the thereafter acquire it in condemnation proceedings at a fraction of its true cost. These appears to be an attempt to minimize or destroy the value of Respondent's property in order to imposed upon the Respondent by the County of San Luis Obispo. This current proceeding claim to restraining or other orders which violate or are inconsistent with lawful requirements denying the legality of Respondent's operations. Indeed, the agency lacks a right to assert a lawful activities as defined by San Luis Obispo County, the current agency is estopped from Use requirements must be examined first and, if Respondent is operating within recognized, San Luis Obispo County, San Luis Obispo County Planning and Development requirements and Respondent's operations. Given the fact that the agency is operating as the enforcement arm for point these proceedings will become permanently moot his property without due process of law and these proceedings should be stayed until the General Services Department of the County of San Luis Obispo to deprive the Respondent of

work. Section 17388.2(a)(1)(3)(6) as noted, at Section 17381.1, a site which receiving only uncontaminated concrete in connection with road repair, airport runway construction, or bridge which conclude within one year of commencement; use of fully cured asphalt or used in connection with any structure, road or parking lot; wert debris engineer fill activities activities at Section 17388.2 including use of gravel or rock or sand or soil that has never been the exceptions provided for by California Code of Regulation as an excluded activity. Excluded As noted in the Notice of Appeal, Respondent is processing material which fits within

25

24

23

22

21

20

19

18

17

16

15

<u>,...</u>

3

12

<u>-</u>-

10

ø

œ

7

ð

(JI

4

w

N

construction and demolition debris and inert debris shall be classified as a recycling center.

Recycling centers are not subject to any other requirements of Title 14.

recognized as being grandfathered under local ordinances dating back to 1979, the year in Respondent is not involved in grading, excavation, and that Respondent's operations are Obispo, yet the County of San Luis Obispo has conceded after many years of investigation that emphasized enough that the agency is acting as the enforcement arm for the County of San Luis compliance with any orders which might be issued by this hearing panel. It cannot be ordinances, in any event which operations by Respondent commenced and which predate any relevant County Respondent is not subject to the jurisdiction of the agency nor is he subject to

area and is not subject to plot plan or site plan approval; a copy of the definition of grading asphalt essentially. In short, the industrial usage of the Respondent is lawful in the rural airport highlighted in yellow; a copy of approved industrial uses in rural industrial areas from the zoning of the subject property from agricultural to industrial; a copy of a 1979 Land Use Permit Requirements, Section 22.01.031, revised November 1999, and originally enacted in including a list of uses that require use permits, none of which would be applicable to the copy of the San Luis Obispo Planning Ordinances listing non-conforming uses; a copy of San requiring a grading plan to be approved where existing elevations are altered by the movement specifically applicable to the recycling operation of the Respondent which is of concrete and County of San Luis Obispo, to include recycling and scrap; but no specific designation Category May designating and confirming the industrial usage of the subject property 1981 as Ordinance 2163. A copy of Ordinance 1527 dated October 6, 1975 changing the Luis Obispo County's Heavy Industrial District Use Permit sections applicable in the year 1979. of more than 50 yards of material, something which has never occurred at the subject site; a Respondent submits in support of this brief a copy of San Luis Obispo County Land Use

17

18

16

15

14

ען

22

片

10

9

0

4

đ

ഗ

4

Ü

N

21

20

19

22

25

24

condemnation process is concluded collaboration between this agency and the County of San Luis Obispo to deprive Respondent of condemnation by the County of San Luis Obispo and constituting direct proof of a joint Respondent; a copy of a February 26, 2004 letter to the Respondent initiating the process of his property without due process of law. These entire proceedings should be stayed until the

agency in a manner inconsistent with that adopted by the County of San Luis Obispo. Attached than as a recycling center and the recycling that is occurring is not subject to regulation by this not be retroactively destroyed by the same government. First English Evangelical v. Los the lawful right to continuing nonconforming use herewith also is a copy of San Luis Obispo County Land Use Ordinance 22.72.030 recognizing Angeles, 482 US 30. There is no way on Earth this property can be used economically other Respondent were lawful when they began and were authorized by local government. They may Tiburon, 24 Cal.3d 266, Cormier v. San Luis Obispo, 161 Cal.App.3d 850, Arnel v. Costa Mesa, activity. Hamer v. Ross, 59 Cal.2d 776, Smith v. Los Angeles, 24 Cal.App.4th 990, Agins v. retroactive taking of property through the use of spot zoning or forced use of uneconomic of the Respondent to continue the activities commenced in the year 1979 free from the 126 Cal.App.3d 330, and Wilkins v. San Bernardino, 29 Cal.2d 332. The activities of the Also attached herewith are citations to case authority recognizing the constitutional right

A declaration from the Respondent and from counsel are also enclosed

20

19

18

17

91

15

14

13

12

11

10

ø

œ

4

O)

G

•

w

N

2

stayed upon conclusion of the condemnation process initiated by the County of San Luis For reasons above stated, these proceedings should be stayed and then permanently

Dated:

23

22

Respectfully submitted

WILEY RAMEY

S

2

Attorney for Respondent James Patrick Filbin

PAGE

consistent with its charge, ignore lawful and applicable regulations issued by the County of San Luis Obispo and applicable to this Respondent As the enforcement arm of the County of San Luis Obispo, this agency may not,

those matters amounting to opinion and as to them, I believe that they are true. Executed on I declare under penalty of perjury that the foregoing is true and correct, except as to , 2006, at San Luis Obispo, California.

12

11

10

æ

~J

σ

charges or proceedings being brought against the Respondent.

Ġ

4

w

N

WILEY RAMEY

20.

19

18

17

16

15

14

13

25

24

23

22

WILEY RAMEY

ATTORNEY AT LAW

Phone: (805) 541-5536 Fax: (805) 782-9254

W

4

N

Attorney for Respondent, JIM FILBIN AGGREGATES

Ç5

BEFORE THE

HEARING PANEL OF THE

CALIFORNIA INTEGRATED WASTE MANAGEMENT BOARD

AS ENFORCEMENT AGENCY FOR SAN LUIS OBISPO COUNTY

JIM FILBIN AGGREGATES
4398 SANTA FE ROAD

14

13

12

ĭĭ

10

9

œ

~J

σ,

SAN LUIS OBISPO, CA APN 076-371-012

15

Case No.: EA-2005-05

DECLARATION OF JAMES PATRICK FILBIN

Respondent.

17

16

18

property known as 4398 Santa Fe Road, San Luis Obispo, California, and could and would I, JAMES PATRICK FILBIN, declare I am the Respondent and owner of the real

testify to the following of my own personal knowledge:

21

19

20

which I receive is known as Type A Inert Debris and the residual of this material is less than ten separately and are processed separately and are not commingled. To my knowledge, the debris since 1979 and these materials are separated on my property. They are temporarily stored I have been in the business of acquiring and processing concrete and asphalt materials

24

23

22

4 percent by weight of the amount of debris received. In addition, the debris sorted for resale is sorted within thirty days of acquisition. I am capable of identifying the separate storage areas of the separate materials on photographs if I am able and my health permits me to appear at this

economically important to the construction and development of airport runways and highways the property and the operations which have been ongoing and the recycling of this material is center known to me like mine. in the State of California and in the County of San Luis Obispo. There is no other recycling There is no other economic use for my property given the existence of the material on

against me did not result in a criminal conviction. I have been lawfully operating since 1979 as also been investigated by the County of San Luis Obispo and the most recent criminal charges on multiple occasions. I have never been found guilty of any criminal offense, nor have I ever latest attempt by an agency to investigate my property and activities and I have been exonerated related development associated with the airport here in San Luis Obispo. This proceeding is the Luis Obispo which intends to acquire my property in order to extend and expand parking and a recycling center my property in 1995 and no action was taken against me following that investigation. I have property. I was investigated by the Federal Environmental Protection Agency which searched been the subject of a restraining order in a civil courtroom as a result of my activities on my I am currently the subject of a condemnation proceeding initiated by the County of San

operation in the County of San Luis Obispo Land Use Ordinances. I have attached herewith true and correct copies of those ordinances dating back to 1979 I acquired this property in 1978 at a time when there was no prohibition against my

or otherwise impacts the lawful uses of my property, the County of San Luis Obispo will be If this proceeding results in some type of restraining order against me and my property,

25

24

23

22

21

20

19

18

17

16

15

5

12

11

Ç

Ø

œ

J

σ

Ŋ

ω

N

<u>|--</u>

ú

=== COVER PAGE ===

FAX: 19163197291

___.

LKOW: SYN SIWEON LODGE

FAX: 8059272374

PEL: 8059272374

COMMENT:

:01

TI:ET 9007/b0/b0